By Dylan shall they be measured Miller, Mark The Globe and Mail (1936-Current); Mar 9, 1991; Pr pg. C14 st Historical No s: The Globe and Mai



REVIEW BY MARK MILLER

REVIEW BY MARK MILLER OME Day Soon, the song that gives literary critic Doog Fetherling's collection of essays about five Canadian songwriters its title, was written by Ian Tyson. Now Tyson is as Cana-dian as songwriters come, but he is-not one of Fetherling's chosen five. They are, instead, Gordon Light-foot, Leonard Cohen, Joni Mitchell, Robbie Robertson and Neil Young. Nor does Some Day Soon, as a title, appear to have much connection to the book as a whole. It suggests, some expectation of things to come, while the best work of these five — according to Fetherling's commen-tary — is some years behind them. The title is not, however, without use. In Fetherling's only reference to the Tyson song, he calls it "a tune lized emptiness," a description that half begins to apply to the book. "Rich in implication," it surely is. "Intellectualized emptiness," how ever, is too harsh. Some Day Soon alternately rambles and races through Fetherling's CanLit ideas on CanMus. His subjects have a few things in

alternately rambles and races through Fetherling's CanLit ideas on CanMus. His subjects have a few things in common, though probably not asmany as Fetherling would like the reader to believe. For a start, all five appeared on the international stage in the mid-1960s, flourished in the 1970s and have continued to work, with varying degrees of vigour, into the 1990s. Three — Mitchell, Robrerson and Young — have lived in the 1990s. Three — Mitchell, Robrerson and Young — have lived in the United States for some 25 years. The timing of their emergence in the United States for some 25 years. The timing of their emergence in the United States for some 25 years. The timing of their emergence in ternationally places them squarely in what Fetherling calls "the age of Bob Dylan" and, by extension, in the context of the U.S. folk movement. Clearly, the author measures songwriting skills by Dylan, and the Canadians inevitably fall short. They are, moreover, introduced by Fetherling in isolation back home. Gradually, they come to serve as points of reference for each other, but nowhere in *Some Day Soon* is there the sense that they, were, and remain, part of a much larger group of similarly occupied, canadian songwriters and singers. Fetherling nevertheless likes his subjects — in a generally detached way. He shows a clinical respect for, Lightfoot's methods, generates some warmth for Cohen (a poet and novelist before he began recording in 1967) and Robertson (ar associate and disciple of Dylan), and eventually settles on the positive side of his apparent ambivalence about Mitchell. His feelings about Neil Young are harder to determine, although they seem to be upbeat. The Young

tive side of his apparent ambiva-lence about Mitchell. His feelings about Neil Young are harder to determine, although they seem to be upbeat. The Young chapter — the last and the shortest — reads like an afterthought. Indeed, this essay and a circuitous-preface that begins "Laura Nyro's name is the answer to a trivia question that no one ever asks," give the packaging of the book a hasty look that belies the long and hard thought that has gone into most of it. Fetherling's approach is several parts literary criticism and one small part musicolagy. By setting both music and musician, or lyric and lyricist, against a foreign ant' somewhat one-dimensional back' drop, Fetherling suggests that most of his subjects are at best unful-filled, at worst rather tortured. Those who now live in the United' States are outsiders looking in this Mitchell becomes "a kind of rock 'n' roll Tocqueville." The stay-at-home Lightfoot is somehow distanced "from the folk tradition, distanced "from the folk tradition, meanwhile, "the doleful loner with the zippers on his wrists," is simply arom the roots of th anustche was imitating." Cohen meanwhile, "the doleful loner with the zippers on his writs," is simpl of another time. As much to a with is simply

As much to the point in such a study might have been Fetherling's As much study might have been remained thoughts, for example, on Light-foot songs in relation to those of someone like Ian Tyson or the late Stan Rogers — the same Stan Rogight e of late Rog Someone have a specific the same Stan Rog ers who merits nary a mention i Some Day Soon. Tyson and Roger are not, however, Bob Dylan. Whic icħ seems to account for the difficulty Fetherling has with the others Fetherling has with the They're not Bob Dylan either.

Miller writes about music for Mark The Globe and Mail. His most recent book is Cool Blues: Charlie Parker in Canada, 1953.